

On the distinctness of binary sequences derived from 2-adic expansion of m-sequences over finite prime fields

Yupeng Jiang and Dongdai Lin

State Key Laboratory Of Information Security,
Institute of Information Engineering,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100093, P.R. China
E-mail: {jiangyupeng, ddlin}@iie.ac.cn

Abstract

Let p be an odd prime with 2-adic expansion $\sum_{i=0}^k p_i \cdot 2^i$. For a sequence $\underline{a} = (a(t))_{t \geq 0}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, each $a(t)$ belongs to $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and has a unique 2-adic expansion

$$a(t) = a_0(t) + a_1(t) \cdot 2 + \dots + a_k(t) \cdot 2^k,$$

with $a_i(t) \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $\underline{a_i}$ denote the binary sequence $(a_i(t))_{t \geq 0}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$. Assume i_0 is the smallest index i such that $p_i = 0$ and $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are two different m-sequences generated by a same primitive characteristic polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_p$. We prove that for $i \neq i_0$ and $0 \leq i \leq k$, $\underline{a_i} = \underline{b_i}$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$, and for $i = i_0$, $\underline{a_{i_0}} = \underline{b_{i_0}}$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$ or $\underline{a} = -\underline{b}$. Then the period of $\underline{a_i}$ is equal to the period of $\underline{a}$ if $i \neq i_0$ and half of the period of $\underline{a}$ if $i = i_0$. We also discuss a possible application of the binary sequences $\underline{a_i}$.

Keywords: 2-adic expansion, m-sequence, period, ZUC, Mersenne prime, fast implementation

Mathematics Subject Classification 11B50, 94A55, 94A60

1 2-adic expansion of sequences

Let p be an odd prime and $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the finite field of order p . We identify this field with the set $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Assume p has the unique 2-adic expansion

$$p = p_0 + p_1 \cdot 2 + \dots + p_k \cdot 2^k$$

with $p_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $p_0 = p_k = 1$. Each element in the field $\mathbb{F}_p$ also has a unique 2-adic expansion. For a sequence $\underline{a} = (a(t))_{t \geq 0}$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, we have $0 \leq a(t) \leq p-1$, and then

$$a(t) = a_0(t) + a_1(t) \cdot 2 + \cdots + a_k(t) \cdot 2^k$$

with $a_i(t) \in \{0, 1\}$. The binary sequence $\underline{a_i} = (a_i(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is called the i th level sequence of $\underline{a}$, and

$$\underline{a} = \underline{a_0} + \underline{a_1} \cdot 2 + \cdots + \underline{a_k} \cdot 2^k$$

is called the 2-adic expansion of the sequence $\underline{a}$.

Let $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ be two difference m-sequences generated by a same primitive polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_p$. For more details about linear recurring sequences, see [2]. It is natural to ask whether or not we have $\underline{a_i} = \underline{b_i}$ for i satisfying $0 \leq i \leq k$. In [5], Zhu and Qi proved that $\underline{a_0} = \underline{b_0}$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$. If p is not a Mersenne prime, then there is an i such that $p_i = 0$. Denote the smallest i with $p_i = 0$ by i_0 . In [3], Zheng proved that $\underline{a_{i_0}} = \underline{b_{i_0}}$ if $\underline{a} = -\underline{b}$. For general i , there is no result in the literature.

In this article, we will prove that for $i \neq i_0$, we have $\underline{a_i} = \underline{b_i}$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$, and for $i = i_0$, we have $\underline{a_{i_0}} = \underline{b_{i_0}}$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$ or $\underline{a} = -\underline{b}$. We devote Section 2 to prove our main results. In Section 3, we discuss some possible applications.

2 Main results

In this section, we will prove our main results. First, we give some notations. Let p, k, i_0 be defined as above. As in [4], the notation $[n]_{\text{mod } m}$ means the least nonnegative integer residue of n modulo m . For an element $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, there is a unique 2-adic expansion

$$a = a_0 + a_1 \cdot 2 + \cdots + a_k \cdot 2^k.$$

Then we can define a family of maps B_i for $0 \leq i \leq k$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} B_i : \quad \mathbb{F}_p &\rightarrow \{0, 1\} \\ a &\mapsto B_i(a) = a_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then $B_i(a) = 0$ means that $0 \leq [a]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} < 2^i$ and $B_i(a) = 1$ means that $2^i \leq [a]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} < 2^{i+1}$. The 2-adic i th level sequence $\underline{a_i}$ is just $(B_i(a(t)))_{t \geq 0}$. For the maps B_i 's, we have the following two lemmas. The notation $\lambda \cdot \underline{a}$ means the sequence $([\lambda \cdot a(t)]_{\text{mod } p})_{t \geq 0}$.

Lemma 1. *Let $0 \leq i \leq k$. Then $B_i(a) = B_i([-a]_{\text{mod } p})$ holds for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$ if and only if $i = i_0$.*

Proof. “ $\Rightarrow$ ”. Assume $B_i(a) = B_i([-a]_{\text{mod } p})$ holds for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let

$$a = x \cdot 2^i + y \quad p = z \cdot 2^i + w$$

with $0 \leq y, w < 2^i$. Then we have $B_i(a) = [x]_{\text{mod } 2}$ and $B_i(p) = [z]_{\text{mod } 2}$. If $B_i(p) = 1$, then we can choose $a \neq 0$ and $0 \leq y \leq w$, then

$$B_i([-a]_{\text{mod } p}) = B_i(p - a) = [z - x]_{\text{mod } 2} \neq [x]_{\text{mod } 2} = B_i(a).$$

If $B_i(p) = 0$ and $0 \leq w < 2^i - 1$, we choose $a = w + 1$. Then we have $p - a = (z - 1) \cdot 2^i + 2^i - 1$. Thus

$$B_i([-a]_{\text{mod } p}) = B_i(p - a) = [z - 1]_{\text{mod } 2} = 1 \neq 0 = B_i(a).$$

Thus for $B_i(p) = 0$, we must have $w = 2^i - 1$, which just means $i = i_0$.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ”. Assume $i = i_0$. A proof can be seen in [3, Theorem 5.3]. For completeness, we give a proof here. Since $a = 0$ is a trivial case, we assume $0 < a < p$. Let $a = x \cdot 2^{i_0} + y$ with $0 \leq y \leq 2^{i_0} - 1$. According to the definition of i_0 , we have $p = z \cdot 2^{i_0} + w$ with even z and $w = 2^{i_0} - 1$. Thus $[-a]_{\text{mod } p} = p - a = (z - x) \cdot 2^{i_0} + w - y$. We have

$$B_{i_0}(p - a) = [z - x]_{\text{mod } 2} = [x]_{\text{mod } 2} = B_{i_0}(a).$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

For $1 < \lambda < p - 1$, we have the following result.

Lemma 2. *Let $1 < \lambda < p - 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq k$. Then $B_i(a) = B_i([\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p})$ can not hold for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$.*

Proof. We first prove for the case $i = 0$. Then $B_0(a) = 0$ if and only if $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. A proof can also be seen in [5, Lemma 4.6]. Assume $B_0(1) = B_0(\lambda) = 1$, then $\lambda \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. If not, the equality does not hold for $a = 1$. Since $\lambda > 1$, there exists some $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, p - 1\}$ such that $p < \lambda \cdot a < 2p$. Then $[\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p} = \lambda \cdot a - p$, and we have

$$\lambda \cdot a - p \equiv a - 1 \not\equiv a \pmod{2}.$$

For this a , $B_0(a) \neq B_0([\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p})$.

Now we are going to proof for the case $1 \leq i \leq k$. We assume $B_i(a) = B_i([\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p})$ holds for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let

$$\lambda = x \cdot 2^{i+1} + y \quad p = z \cdot 2^{i+1} + w$$

with $0 \leq y, w < 2^{i+1}$. Since $B_i(\lambda) = B_i(1) = 0$, we have $0 \leq y < 2^i$. Also we have $B_i(p-1) = B_i([\lambda \cdot (p-1)]_{\text{mod } p}) = B_i(p-\lambda)$. We divide the proof into two cases: $B_i(p) = 0$ and $B_i(p) = 1$.

If $B_i(p) = 0$, then $0 \leq w < 2^i$ and from $p > 2^k \geq 2^i > w$, we have $z > 0$. Since p is odd and so is w , we have $1 \leq w < 2^i$ and then $B_i(p-1) = 0$. So $B_i(p-\lambda) = 0$. Then

$$0 \leq y < 2^i \quad 0 \leq [w-y]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} < 2^i.$$

Thus $0 \leq y \leq w$. Now we count the number of b 's such that $B_i(b) = 0$ and $B_i([b+\lambda]_{\text{mod } p}) = 1$. For each b , there is a unique a such that $[\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p} = b$. Then $B_i(a) = B_i(b) = 0$ and $B_i(a+1) = B_i([b+\lambda]_{\text{mod } p}) = 1$, which means $[a]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} = 2^i - 1$. There are totally z such a 's in the interval $0 \leq a < p$. Denote $[b]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}}$ by t . If $0 \leq b < p-\lambda$, then $[b+\lambda]_{\text{mod } p} = b+\lambda$ and we have

$$0 \leq t < 2^i \quad 2^i \leq [t+y]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} = t+y < 2^{i+1},$$

which means $2^i - y \leq t < 2^i$. As $p-\lambda = (z-x) \cdot 2^{i+1} + (w-y)$ and $w-y < 2^i - y$, there are $(z-x)y$ such b 's in this interval. If $p-\lambda \leq b < p$, then $[b+\lambda]_{\text{mod } p} = b+\lambda-p$ and we have

$$0 \leq t < 2^i \quad 2^i \leq [t+y-w]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} < 2^{i+1}.$$

Since $-2^i < t+y-w \leq t < 2^i$, then we must have $t+y-w < 0$ and $0 \leq t < w-y$. There are $x(w-y)$ such b 's in this interval. Then we have

$$z = (z-x)y + x(w-y).$$

If one of the four nonnegative integers $z-x, y, x, w-y$ is zero, we can reduce to $\lambda = 1$ or $p-1$, which contradicts to the condition that $1 < \lambda < p-1$.

(1) If $y = 0$, then $z = xw$. Thus $w \mid p$ and $w < p$, so $w = 1$ and $z = x$, which means $\lambda = p-1$.

(2) If $w-y = 0$, then $z = (z-x)w$. For the same reason we have $w = 1$. Then $x = 0$ and $y = w = 1$. Thus $\lambda = 1$.

(3) If $x = 0$, then $z = zy > 0$. So $y = 1$ and $\lambda = 1$.

(4) If $z-x = 0$, then $z = z(w-y) > 0$. So $w-y = 1$ and $\lambda = p-1$.

Now we assume all of the four integers are positive. Then

$$z = (z-x)y + x(w-y) \geq (z-x) + x = z.$$

So we have $y = w - y = 1$ and $w = 2$, which is impossible since w is odd.

If $B_i(p) = 1$, then $2^i \leq w < 2^{i+1}$. Since w is odd, then $w > 2^i$ and $B_i(p-1) = 1$. So $B_i(p-\lambda) = 1$. Then

$$0 \leq y < 2^i \quad 2^i \leq [w - y]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} = w - y < 2^{i+1}.$$

Thus $0 \leq y \leq w - 2^i$. Again we count the number of b 's such that $B_i(b) = 0$ and $B_i([b+\lambda]_{\text{mod } p}) = 1$. For each b , there is a unique a such that $[\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p} = b$, then $B_i(a) = 0$ and $B_i(a+1) = 1$, which means $[a]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} = 2^i - 1$. There are totally $z+1$ such a 's in the interval $0 \leq a < p$. Denote $[b]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}}$ by t . If $0 \leq b < p - \lambda$, then we have

$$0 \leq t < 2^i \quad 2^i \leq [t + y]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} = t + y < 2^{i+1},$$

which means $2^i - y \leq t < 2^i$. As $p - \lambda = (z - x) \cdot 2^{i+1} + (w - y)$ and $w - y \geq 2^i$, there are $(z - x + 1)y$ such b 's in this interval. If $p - \lambda \leq b < p$, then $[b + \lambda]_{\text{mod } p} = b + \lambda - p$ and we have

$$0 \leq t < 2^i \quad 2^i \leq [t + y - w]_{\text{mod } 2^{i+1}} < 2^{i+1}.$$

Since $-2^{i+1} < t + y - w \leq t - 2^i < 0$, we must have $-2^i \leq t + y - w < 0$ and then $w - y - 2^i \leq t < 2^i$. There are $x(2^{i+1} - w + y)$ such b 's in this interval. Then we have

$$z + 1 = (z - x + 1)y + x(2^{i+1} - w + y) = (z + 1)y + x(2^{i+1} - w).$$

If $y > 0$, as $x(2^{i+1} - w) \geq 0$, we have $y = 1$ and $x = 0$. Then $\lambda = 1$, which contradicts to the condition $1 < \lambda < p - 1$. If $y = 0$, then $z + 1 = x(2^{i+1} - w)$. We have

$$p = z \cdot 2^{i+1} + w = z(2^{i+1} - w) + (z + 1)w.$$

Then $(2^{i+1} - w) \mid p$. Since $w > 2^i$, then $2^{i+1} - w < 2^i < w \leq p$. So $2^{i+1} - w = 1$ and then $z + 1 = x$, which is impossible since $z \geq x$. Then the proof is complete. $\square$

Now we can prove the following theorem about level sequences of 2-adic expansion of m -sequences.

Theorem 3. *Let p, k, i_0 be defined as above. Assume $\underline{a} = (a(t))_{t \geq 0}$ and $\underline{b} = (b(t))_{t \geq 0}$ are two different m -sequences generated by a same primitive polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_p$. Then $\underline{a}_{i_0} = \underline{b}_{i_0}$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$ or $\underline{a} = -\underline{b}$, and for $i \neq i_0$, $\underline{a}_i = \underline{b}_i$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$.*

Proof. First, assume $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are linearly independent. For those t with $a(t) = 0$, $b(t)$ can be any element in $\mathbb{F}_p$, then $\underline{a}_i \neq \underline{b}_i$ for every i satisfying $0 \leq i \leq k$. If $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are linearly dependent, then there is $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ such that $\underline{a}_i = \lambda \cdot \underline{b}_i$. Then $\underline{a}_i = \underline{b}_i$ if and only if $B_i(a) = B_i([\lambda \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p})$ holds for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_p$. For $\lambda = p-1$, by Lemma 1, we have $\underline{a}_i = \underline{b}_i$ if and only if $i = i_0$. For $1 < \lambda < p-1$, by Lemma 2, we have $\underline{a}_i \neq \underline{b}_i$ for all i 's. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 1. When in the 2-adic expansion $p = \sum_{i=0}^k p_i \cdot 2^i$, every p_i is equal to 1, then p is a Mersenne prime $2^{k+1} - 1$ and no such i_0 exists. By the above theorem, $\underline{a}_i = \underline{b}_i$ if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$. This result can be proved by only using the case $i = 0$ of Lemma 2 and the following fact. For $i > 0$, if $1 \leq a = x \cdot 2^i + y < p$ with $0 \leq y < 2^i$, then $0 \leq x < 2^{k+1-i}$. We have

$$2^{k+1-i} \cdot a = x \cdot 2^{k+1} + y \cdot 2^{k+1-i} \equiv y \cdot 2^{k+1-i} + x \pmod{p}, \quad (*)$$

and then $[2^{k+1-i} \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p} = y \cdot 2^{k+1-i} + x$. So

$$B_i(a) = [x]_{\text{mod } 2} = B_0([2^{k+1-i} \cdot a]_{\text{mod } p}).$$

The sequence $\underline{a}_i$ is equal to $\underline{c_0}$ with $\underline{c} = 2^{k+1-i} \cdot \underline{a}$. For the same reason $\underline{b}_i$ is equal to $\underline{d_0}$ with $\underline{d} = 2^{k+1-i} \cdot \underline{b}$. Thus $\underline{a}_i = \underline{b}_i$ if and only if $\underline{c_0} = \underline{d_0}$. We have $\underline{c_0} = \underline{d_0}$ if and only if $\underline{c} = \underline{d}$, and if and only if $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$.

We have the following corollary about the period of $\underline{a}_i$.

Corollary 4. *Let $\underline{a}$ be an m -sequence of order n over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $T = p^n - 1$. Then the period of $\underline{a}_i$ is equal to T if $i \neq i_0$ and equal to $T/2$ if $i = i_0$.*

Proof. The period of $\underline{a}$ is T [2]. Denote the period of $\underline{a}_i$ by T_i . Then we have $T_i \mid T$ and $B_i(a(t + T_i)) = B_i(a(t))$ holds for all $t \geq 0$. Let $\underline{b} = ((b(t))_{t \geq 0} = (a(t + T_i))_{t \geq 0})$. Then we have $\underline{b}_i = \underline{a}_i$. By Theorem 3, if $i \neq i_0$, $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$, which means $T \mid T_i$. So $T_i = T$. If $i = i_0$, we have $\underline{a} = -\underline{b}$ or $\underline{a} = \underline{b}$. The smallest T_{i_0} such that $a(t) = [-a(t + T_{i_0})]_{\text{mod } p}$ is $T/2$ [2]. So the period of $\underline{a}_{i_0}$ is $T/2$. The proof is complete. $\square$

3 Applications

In this section, we discuss a possible application of the 2-adic level sequences. In [1], the ZUC algorithm adopts primitive sequences over the prime field of order $2^{31} - 1$ as drive sequences, and the 0th level sequence is used. The addition and multiplication modulo $2^{31} - 1$ have a fast implementation. Since

$2^{31} - 1$ is a Mersenne prime, we can see from (*) that the modulo $2^{31} - 1$ multiplication by powers of 2 can be done by cyclic shift. For addition, if $a + b = c \cdot 2^{31} + d$ with $0 \leq a, b < 2^{31} - 1$, then $[a + b]_{\text{mod } 2^{31} - 1} = c + d$.

If only a few coefficients of the 2-adic expansion of a are equal to 1, then for p of the form $2^n - a$, the addition and multiplication modulo p also have a fast implementation. We suggest that the ZUC algorithm may use m-sequences over prime fields of order $2^n - a$ instead of the field of order $2^{31} - 1$. There are two reasons. First, we can choose n to be 32 and 64 to make the operations more suitable to 32 and 64 bit platforms respectively. Second, there are more primes and more level sequences to use. If we let a be of the form $2^i + 1 < 2^{n-1}$, it is easy to check that $2^{32} - a$ is prime for $i = 2, 4, 6, 23, 24, 25, 29$ and $2^{64} - a$ is prime for $i = 8, 10, 29$. Furthermore, these primes are not Mersenne primes and then for different i and j , the i th level sequence of an m-sequence is not necessarily equal to the j th level sequence of another m-sequence. There are more level sequences can be used for each prime.

References

- [1] ETSI/SAGE specification: Specification of the 3GPP confidentiality and integrity algorithms 128-EEA3 & 128-EIA3. Document 4: Design and Evaluation Report; Version: 2.0; Date: 9th Sep. 2011, Tech. rep., ETSI 2011.
- [2] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter *Finite fields*, Cambridge, U. K., Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [3] Q. X. Zheng, *On the distinctness of sequences derived from primitive sequences over integer residue rings*, Ph. D. dissertation, Zhengzhou Inf. Eng. Univ., Zhengzhou, China, 2013.
- [4] Q. X. Zheng, W. F. Qi and T. Tian, On the distinctness of modular reductions of primitive sequences over $\mathbb{Z}/(2^{32} - 1)$, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 2012, DOI: 10.1007/s10623-012-9698-y.
- [5] X. Y. Zhu and W. F. Qi, On the distinctness of modular reductions of maximal length sequences modulo odd prime powers, *Math. Comput.*, 2008, **77**(263), 1623–1637.